UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF VERMONT FILED 2020 AUG 20 PM 3: 01
REBEKAH THOMPSON and CHESTER THOMPSON,		CLERK
Plaintiffs,	)	DEP DY CLERK
v.	) Case No. 5:20-cv-9	90
STATE CUSTODY OF DCYF,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## ORDER DISMISSING AMENDED COMPLAINT

(Doc. 10)

On August 6, 2020, self-represented Plaintiffs Rebekah and Chester Thompson were granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915; however, Plaintiffs' Complaint was dismissed without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). (Doc. 8.) Plaintiffs timely filed an Amended Complaint (Doc. 10), which the court now considers.

Dismissal is warranted for the same reasons as explained in the Court's July 14, 2020 Order. (*See* Doc. 4 at 3-8.) Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint fails to plausibly allege a claim. *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009); *Buckner v. Shumlin*, No. 1:12-cv-90, 2013 WL 6571814, at \*9 (D. Vt. Dec. 13, 2013). Because Plaintiffs have not plausibly alleged a claim for relief over which this court has jurisdiction, Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is DISMISSED. The court hereby certifies that under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) any appeal would not be taken in good faith.

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Rutland in the District of Vermont, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August 2020.

Geoffrey W. Crawford, Chief Judge United States District Court